SINGLE BENCH

CF/1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF C.G. AT BILASPUR

W.P.C. NO. /2007

PETITIONER

Kartik Ram Yadaw, S/O Dina Nath Yadaw, aged 54 years, R/O village Jhagarha, Tahsil Korba, P.S. Korba, District Korba (Chhattigarh).

P.R.No. 1149/17
Presented by A.R. Yadav
dated 20/2/17

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

(1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through Chief Secretary D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

(2) Collector Korba, District Korba (Chhattisgarh).

(3) Sub-Divisional Officer Korba, District Korba (Chhattisgarh).

(4) Tahsildar Korba, Tahsil Korba, District Korba (Chhattisgarh).

(5) General Manager Zeela Vayapar and Udyog Kendra Korba, Distt. Korba, (Chhattisgarh).

(6) M/S Himadri Chemical and Industries Ltd. 23/A Netaji Subhash Road 8th Floor Suit No.5 Kolkata, through Representative Shri Pawan Kumar Chaudhary

RECEIVED COPY
20/2/17
CLERK IN H.C. BILASPUR

S/O late Vishwa Nath Chaudhary
R/O Vivekanand Road Kolkata, At
Present Address Centre Point
Korba, District Korba (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/~~227~~ OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (C) No. 1279 of 2007

Petitioner — Kartik Ram Yadav

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri J. R. Baraik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 4.

ORDER

(Passed on this 2nd day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that though the Collector Korba by order dated 21.4.2006 (Annexure P/1) has allotted five acres of land from the Khasra No. 76/3, area 92.00, situated at village – Jhagarha, Patwari Halka No. 10, District – Korba, the authorities i.e. Revenue Inspector, Tahsildar and Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Korba have allotted other land, bearing Khasra No. 14/6, which is adjacent to the land allotted by the Collector.

2. Be that as it may, this petition involves disputed question of facts. This Court in exercise of its extraordinary jurisdiction cannot resolve the disputed question of fact. The petitioner is at liberty either to move to the Collector or to take recourse of the Civil Court for necessary order.

3. This petition is accordingly dismissed, summarily, with the aforestated liberty. Consequently M(W)P No. 827/207 stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur